

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JASON ARTHUR ALTHEIDE,

Case No.: 2:16-cv-2272-RFB-NJK

Plaintiff(s),

Order

V.

(Docket Nos. 65, 67)

THOMAS KLEUCZAR, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's motions to extend copywork limit. Docket Nos. .

An inmate has no constitutional right to free photocopying. *Johnson v. Moore*, 948 F.2d 21 (9th Cir. 1991). Pursuant to NDOC regulations, inmates can only accrue a maximum of debt for copy work expenses for all cases. *See, e.g., Weddle v. Baker*, 2014 U.S. Dist. Lexis 4, *3 (D. Nev. Oct. 27, 2014). “In this district, courts have found that they can order a prison defendant limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the Court or other parties.” *Id.* (citing *Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 31756 (D. Nev. 1, 2011)).

In Docket No. 65, Plaintiff submits that he “is I.F.P. and indigent and needs to be able to discovery for Court, defense attorney, and for personal records.” Docket No. 65 at 1. In Docket No. 67, Plaintiff submits he has exhausted his allotted amount of copy expenses and, therefore, requires an extension to make copies of “pleadings, motions and supporting documents

1 ... for courts, defense counsels, self and work copies per FRCP 5, L.R. 5.1 and L.R. 7-2.” Docket
2 No. 67 at 1 (citing to *Gluth v. Kangas*, 951 F.2d 1504 (9th Cir. 1991)). No showing has been made
3 that additional photocopying is necessary in relation to this particular case, nor has a showing been
4 made that other means of copying (including using carbon paper) are not available.

5 Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motions to extend copywork limit are **DENIED**. Docket Nos. 65,
6 67.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: June 12, 2018

9 
10 NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28